WO

DATE: May 9, 2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

		STRICT OF ARIZONA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Mario Paguilla-Herrera	Case Number: <u>11-08391M-001</u>	
and was repre	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. esented by counsel. I conclude by a p ant pending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on May 9, 2011. Defendant was present reponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	The defendant is not a citizen of	the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
⊠	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maxim	um of years imprisonment.	
The (Court incorporates by reference the n f the hearing in this matter, except as	naterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court s noted in the record.	
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the de	efendant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of co	onditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
		CTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practice defendant shall be afforded a reason States or on request of an attorney for	ly of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in able, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending able opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court or the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the prose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPE	ALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of y of the motion for review/reconsidera	this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tion to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a relea ficiently in advance of the hearing be ne potential third party custodian.	ise to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial efore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
		The state of the s	

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge